"Then there were some samples taken—a very small number too—56 orange were taken on behalf of the owner of the shipment. Those were taken by Money in the same was more or less interested—he said not greatly interested—but representing the owners of the oranges—they certainly did have more or less commercial interest. On those the gentlemen in the fruit business said they found them fit for commercial shipment in general.

"At the eleventh hour Mr. Steinbauer comes in, and knows more about it than anybody who has testified. He is a Government inspector. He went over 800 of these oranges, taking ten half-boxes, and going right through each half-box. I think that is altogether the best evidence there is as to the character of this shipment. If you take his statement, the boxes differ very much some were certainly good, and some ran pretty bad. But I don't think that on his testimony the shipment itself is so defective that you can say that the car-load as a whole is subject to forfeiture, and on that ground I dismiss the information.

"And I find it unnecessary to pass upon the question of law; but I should perhaps say that I am glad I do not have to pass upon that question, because I think it extremely doubtful whether that statute in fact gives the Department authority to forfeit for a defect of this sort. It is a matter in which as one of the public my sympathy is with Dr. Adams and his officials, but think the word 'decomposed' is a word that is hardly met by a change in condition caused by frost bites; but there is however an opportunity for a difference of opinion on that, because undoubtedly the chemical contents of the oranges change by frost bite. But that I find it unnecessary to decide."

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15200. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. James W. Nelson and Sherwood L. Ford (Ford Oyster & Crab Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs (F. & D. No. 21607. I. S. No. 11782-x.)

On June 1, 1927, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Cours of the United States for said district an information against James W. Nelson and Sherwood L. Ford, copartners, trading as the Ford Oyster & Crab Conference of Crisfield, Md., alleging shipment by said defendants, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about March 3, 1927, from the State of Maryland into the State of Pennsylvania, of a quantity of oysters which were adulterated.

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated, in that a substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, in that a substance, to wit, water, had been substituted in part for oysters, which the article purported to be, and in that a valuable constituent, oyster solids, had been in part abstracted from the said article.

On June 17, 1927, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf

of the defendants, and the court imposed a fine of \$25' and costs.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

United States Department of Agriculture

FOOD, DRUG, AND INSECTICIDE ADMINISTRATION

DEFICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the food and drugs act]

15201-15250

Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., December 14, 1927;
Approximate 14, 1927; Canned Stringless Beans, and 185 Cases of String Beans. Default Canned Stringless Beans, and 185 Cases of String Beans. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction entered. (F. & D. Nos. 20646, 20651. I. S. Nos. 4245-x, 4247-x. S. Nos. C-4877, C-4881.)

On November 24, 1925, the United States attorney for the Western District. Oklahoma, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the strict Court of the United States for said district libels praying seizure and demnation of 200 cases of canned stringless beans, and 185 cases of string respectively, consigned by the Litteral Canning Co., Fayetteville, Ark., in part on the article had been shipped from Fayetteville, Ark., in part on the content of the con Bout September 15, 1925, and in part on or about September 23, 1925, and Front September 15, 1925, and in part on or about September 23, 1925, and insported from the State of Arkansas into the State of Oklahoma, and charg-disported from the State of Arkansas into the State of Oklahoma, and charg-disported from the State of Oklahoma, and charg-disported from in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled part: (can) "Licano (or "Our Favorite Brand") Cut Stringless part: (can) "Licano (or "Our Favorite Brand") Cu

was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States ishal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

202. Adulteration of canned string beans. U. S. v. 145 Cases of Canned String Beans. Default decree of forfeiture and destruction entered. (F. & D. No. 20647. I. S. No. 4246-x. S. No. C-4878.)

String Beans. Default decree of forfeiture and decree of torieture and decree of torieture and decree of torieture and decree of torieture and decree and Oklahoma, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure condemnation of 145 cases of canned string beans, remaining in the original recondemnation of 14b cases of canned string beans, remaining in the original roken packages at Frederick, Okla., consigned by Appleby Bros., Fayette-land, alleging that the article had been shipped from Fayetteville, Ark., or about September 23, 1925, and transported from the State of Arkansas or about September 23, 1925, and transported from the State of Arkansas of the State of Oklahoma, and charging adulteration in violation of the food from the article was labeled, in part: "Zat Zit Brand Cut String the string and the libel that the article was adulterated in that it can be said and the libel that the article was adulterated in that it can

was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it con-in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable stance.

October 18, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be royed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.